For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	IAMAI DANWELL ODTIZ
7	JAMAL DANWELL ORTIZ, NO. C 11-2380 WHA (PR)
8	Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL
9	V.
10	MATTHEW L. CATE; D. FOSTION; D. STARK; G.D. LEWIS; P.T. SMITH;
11	CRUISE; R. BELL; M.J. NIMROD; DR. GLINES; V. COMPELLO;
12	OFFICER TRIMM; OFFICER CARDENAS,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	On July 1, 2011, plaintiff's civil rights complaint was dismissed for failure to present a
16	cognizable claim for relief. Plaintiff was granted 30 days to file an amended complaint. He has
17	failed to do so. This case is accordingly DISMISSED with prejudice. <i>See WMX Technologies v</i> .
18	Miller, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (when complaint has been dismissed with leave to
19	amend and plaintiff does not amend, further district court determination is necessary). The
20	clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated Avenut 20 2011 MM Alma
23	Dated: August 29 , 2011. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	
26	